W.P. No. 1530 of 2007 (S/S)
With
Interim Relief App. Nil / 07

Hon'ble P.C. Pant, J.

Heard Mr. V.K. Kaparwan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. H.M. Raturi, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner, who was a work charge employee, has filed this writ petition claiming full gratuity and other pensionary benefits.

Same petitioner, in the earlier round of litigation, filed Writ Petition No. 460 of 2006 (S/S), which was disposed of vide judgment and order dated 31.03.2006, whereby the respondents were directed to decide the representation of the petitioner. Now, the representation appears to have been decided by the respondents, vide order dated 1st September 2006. The said order has been challenged after almost one year. Admittedly, admissible gratuity has already been paid to the petitioner. In the impugned order it is specifically mentioned that a work charge employee, who is not a regular employee, is not entitled to other pensionary benefits, under the rules.

In the circumstances, this writ petition has no force and the same is dismissed, in limine.

(Interim Relief Application also stands dismissed, accordingly).

(P. C. P., J.)
23.10.2007

HN